

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 18 2004

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Appn. Number: 09/628,729
Appn. Filed: July 28, 2000
Applicant: Philip R. Krause
Title: Improved Teleprompter Device
Examiner/GAU: Stephen S. Hong/2178
Date: May 14, 2004

OFFICIAL

REQUEST FOR FACE-TO-FACE INTERVIEW

The Applicant is in receipt of a final Office Action (O.A.) on this application, mailed 4/7/04. The Examiner reiterated the rejection of the October 27, 2003 O.A. under 35 USC §112 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. In Applicant's response of 1/26/04 to the O.A., the following conditional request for constructive assistance was included (emphasis added):

Conditional request for interview and constructive assistance

Based on the arguments herein, the specification and claims of this application are proper, definite, enabled, and define novel subject matter which is also non-obvious. If, for any reason, this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, the Applicant respectfully requests an interview at which the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to MPEP 2173.02 and 707.07 (j) are requested in order that the undersigned can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings. **In particular, should the Examiner continue to believe that the application should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for lack of enablement, Applicant requests this interview for the purpose of discussion, constructive assistance, and demonstration of the invention prior to any unfavorable final action on this application.**


During the requested interview, the Applicant would like to demonstrate the invention to show that it is, indeed, enabled by the specification, and to receive the constructive assistance of the Examiner.

In addition, in the most recent O.A., the Examiner states that argument that "Tognazzini is irrelevant to and teaches away from the amended claims. . ." is not persuasive." The applicant would like to have the opportunity to address the Examiner's concerns with the Applicant's argument, which seems fully persuasive to the Applicant. However, the O.A. does not explain the basis under which the argument is found to be unpersuasive. Thus, the Applicant hopes that the Examiner could explain this more fully during the interview and these concerns addressed.

In addition, the Applicant would like to discuss any other outstanding issues that, in the view of the Examiner, need to be resolved before a patent can be allowed.

I am available to meet with the Examiner at most times during regular business hours. You may contact me either at (301)-365-8555 or on my cellular telephone at 301-922-2592.

Very respectfully,



Philip R. Krause,
Applicant Pro Se

9437 Seven Locks Road
Bethesda, MD 20817
(301)-365-8555
Date: 5/14/04


Attachment: USPTO form PTOL-413A

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I certify that on the date below, I will fax this communication and attachments, if any, to Group 2178 of the Patent and Trademark Office at the following number: 703-872-9306.

Date: 5/14/04

Inventor's signature: _____



PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. GMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No. 09/628,729 First Named Applicant: Philip R Krause
Examiner: Stephen S Hong Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: Final

Tentative Participants:

(1) Stephen S Hong (2) Philip Krause
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 6/1/04 Proposed Time: 10³⁰ (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NO
If yes, provide brief description: Demonstrate invention via internet

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Ref., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Ref</u>	<u>1-20</u>	<u>35 USC 112</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Ref</u>	<u>1-20</u>	<u>Tognazzini</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>Ref</u>	<u>1-20</u>	<u>Schena</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Please see attachment

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.